

REMARKS

This is in response to the Advisory Action dated May 12, 2004. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, 12-14, 27 and 28 are pending in the case.

Applicants appreciate the courtesies extended by the Examiner during the telephone interview of June 8, 2004, during which the inclusion of the allowable subject matter of claim 7 into claim 6 was discussed. Applicants further appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 1, 3-5, 10, and 12-14 are allowed, and that claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims (see Office Action mailed August 20, 2003).

Claims 6, 8, and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kardis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,684,672) in view of Zibrik et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,138,328). The Examiner stated essentially that the combined teachings of Kardis and Zibrik teach or suggest all the limitations of claims 6, 8, and 9.

Claim 6 claims, *inter alia*, "a second housing rotatably connected to one end of said first housing wherein said first housing has a rib on its outer portion which projects from the side opposed to said second housing wherein said rib contains a plate type antenna which transmits and receives radio signals for communicating data between said computer terminal and outside, wherein said second housing has, at a location corresponding to said rib of said first housing, a recess for receiving said rib when said first housing and said second housing are closed."


Claim 6 has been amended to include the allowable limitations of claim 7. Accordingly,

claim 6 is believed to be in condition for allowance. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 8-9, 27 and 28 depend from claim 6. The dependent claims are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons given for claim 6.

Accordingly, the application, including claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, 12-14, 27 and 28, is believed to be allowable for at least the reasons stated above. For the forgoing reasons, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Nathaniel T. Wallace
Reg. No. 48,909
Attorney for Applicants

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501
East Meadow, New York 11554
(516) 357-0091
(516) 357-0092 (FAX)